## STATISTICAL LEARNING (AND LANGUAGE MODELS)

## COURSE SCHEDULE (REMINDER)

- HW 3 is due $11 / 14$
- All project milestones are released (see website)
- First milestone due 11/16
- Module 4 Presentations are 11/16
- Summaries due $11 / 15$


## RECAP

```
sorry = 20.11%
excited = 14.92%
proud = 8.33%
happy = 6.31%
glad = 5.17%
```

- Random variable: Unobserved RVs refer to a distribution
- Distribution: Exhaustive list of all possible values with their likelihoods
- Joint distribution: The likelihood of two values simultaneously
- Conditional distribution: The likelihood of one RV given another


## PROBABILISTIC INFERENCE

Computing the desired probability from other known probabilities

- Generally using conditional probabilities
- $\mathrm{P}($ on time $\mid$ no reported accidents $)=0.90$
- The agent's beliefs given evidence
- Probabilities change with new evidence
- $\mathrm{P}($ on time $\mid$ no accidents, 5 AM$)=0.95$
- $\mathrm{P}($ on time $\mid$ no accidents, 5 AM , raining $)=0.80$
- New evidence $\rightarrow$ update beliefs


## INFERENCE BY ENUMERATION

1. Find the relevant datapoints consistent with the evidence
E.g., when it was raining and I was on time
2. Sum across all the $h$ 's to get the joint probability of the query and the evidence
E.g., total of all the times I was on time when it was raining
3. Normalize i.e., divide each instance by the sum of them all
E.g., divide by the total across all queries (on

$$
P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)=\frac{P\left(Q, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)}{\sum_{q} P\left(Q, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)}
$$

With:

- "evidence" variables $E_{1} \ldots E_{k}=e_{1} \ldots e_{k}$
- "query" variable $Q$
- "hidden" variables $H_{1} \ldots H_{r}$

We want $P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)$
$Q$ in this example is "will I be on time?" time, not on time) with the same evidence (raining, etc.)

## INFERENCE BY ENUMERATION EXAMPLE: LANGUAGE MODEL

$P\left(\right.$ was $\left.\mid i t^{*}\right)$

## 1. Find all of the "it was"'s

2. Sum them up 10
3. Normalize $\frac{10}{12} \approx 0.83$

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times, it was the age of wisdom, it was the age of foolishness, it was the epoch of belief, it was the epoch of incredulity, it was the season of Light, it was the season of Darkness, it was the spring of hope, it was the winter of despair, we had everything before us, we had nothing before us, we were all going direct to Heaven, we were all going direct the other way--in short, the period was so far like the present period that some of its noisiest authorities insisted on its being received, for good or for evil, in the superlative degree of comparison only.
(From the beginning of $A$ Tale of Two Cities)

## HELPFUL RULES: PRODUCT RULE

$$
P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y)
$$

$P(W)$

$$
P(U, W)
$$

| W | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rain | 0.3 |
| Sun | 0.6 |
| Fog | 0.1 |


| U | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umbrella | Rain | 0.8 |
| No Umbrella | Rain | 0.2 |
| Umbrella | Sun | 0.1 |
| No Umbrella | Sun | 0.9 |
| Umbrella | Fog | 0.3 |
| No Umbrella | Fog | 0.7 |

$$
P(U \mid W)
$$

$\leftrightarrow$

| $\mathbf{U}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umbrella | Rain | 0.24 |
| No Umbrella | Rain | 0.06 |
| Umbrella | Sun | 0.06 |
| No Umbrella | Sun | 0.54 |
| Umbrella | Fog | 0.03 |
| No Umbrella | Fog | 0.07 |

## HELPFUL RULES: CHAIN RULE

- You can extend the product rule for each element of a joint distribution
- It becomes a product of conditional distributions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## HELPFUL RULES: BAYES' RULE

Product rule tells us we can turn a joint distribution into:

$$
P(x, y)=P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x) P(y \mid x)
$$

But Thomas Bayes (1763) figured out we can find the conditional distribution if we know the other parts by using division:

$$
P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(y \mid x)}{P(y)} P(x)
$$

Why is this helpful?

## NAÏVE BAYES ALGORITHM

- Estimate the probability of each class:
- Compute the posterior probability (Bayes rule)

$$
P\left(c_{i} \mid D\right)=\frac{P\left(c_{i}\right) P\left(D \mid c_{i}\right)}{P(D)}
$$

- Choose the class with the highest probability
- Assumption of attribute independency (Naïve assumption): Naïve Bayes assumes that all of the attributes are independent.


## BACK TO LANGUAGE MODELING

- A probabilistic language model computes the probability of a word given a sequence of words (or history). E.g.,:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(w_{4} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right) \\
P(\text { best } \mid \text { it }, \text { was, the })
\end{gathered}
$$

- We can also calculate the probability of an entire sentence. E.g., for a sentence with $n$ words:

$$
P(W)=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots w_{n}\right)
$$

## WHAT ARE LMS USED FOR?

- Machine translation
- Text generation (summarization, dialog systems, question-answering)
- Spelling correction
- Speech recognition


## CALCULATING THE JOINT PROBABILITY IN SENTENCES

$$
P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots w_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots w_{i-1}\right)
$$

$P($ it was the best of times $)=$ $P(i t) X$ $P($ was|it) $x$ $P($ thelit was $) x$ $P($ best $\mid$ it was the) $x$ $P(o f \mid$ it was the best) $x$ $P($ times $\mid$ it was the best of $)$

Why isn't this practical?

## SIMPLIFYING ASSUMPTION

- We can simplify this with the Markov Assumption
- We will only use the previous $k$ words instead of the entire context
- For example,

$$
P(\text { times } \mid \text { best of }) \approx P(\text { times } \mid \text { it was the best of })
$$

- Or generally,

$$
P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\right) \approx \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k} \ldots w_{i-1}\right)
$$

## USE A LIMITED HISTORY

- Unigram - $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)$; no history
- Bigram - $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2} \mid \mathrm{w}_{1}\right) ; 1$ word as history
- Trigram - $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{3} \mid \mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) ; 2$ words as history
- N -gram $-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) ; \mathrm{n}-1$ words as history
- When would you want to use one or the other?


## ESTIMATING N-GRAMS

With the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE):

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

## MLE EXAMPLE

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

<s> I am Sam </s>
$<s>$ Sam I am </s>
<s> I do not like green eggs and ham </s>

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P(\mathrm{I}|<\mathrm{s}\rangle)=\frac{2}{3}=.67 & P(\mathrm{Sam}|<\mathrm{s}\rangle)=\frac{1}{3}=.33 & P(\mathrm{am} \mid \mathrm{I})=\frac{2}{3}=.67 \\
P(</ \mathrm{s}\rangle \mid \mathrm{Sam})=\frac{1}{2}=0.5 & P(\mathrm{Sam} \mid \mathrm{am})=\frac{1}{2}=.5 & P(\mathrm{do} \mid \mathrm{I})=\frac{1}{3}=.33
\end{array}
$$

# MLE EXTENDED TO MORE N-GRAMS 

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| unigram | no history | $\prod_{i}^{n} p\left(w_{i}\right)$ | $p\left(w_{i}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{all} \operatorname{words}}$ |
| bigram | 1 word as history | $\prod_{i}^{n} p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)$ | $p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}\right)}$ |
| trigram | 2 words as history | $\prod_{i}^{n} p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)$ | $p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)}$ |
| 4-gram | 3 words as history | $\prod_{i}^{n} p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-3}, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)$ | $p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-3}, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-3}, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-3}, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)}$ |

## FUN WITH N-GRAMS

Check out https://books.google.com/ngrams/ for an interactive view of n-grams


## ISSUES WITH N-CRAMS

- Long-distance dependencies
- E.g. The picture is beautiful. vs The picture of the Sicilian landscape is beautiful.
- No idea what the probability of novel words would be
- Misspellings will be counted as separate words
- If it's not found in dataset used to create the n-grams, there is no data on it
- Word disambiguation - same word with different meanings in different contexts


## ISSUES WITH MLE

- Zeroes - dataset too small or doesn't match what we want the probability for (Out of vocabulary)
- E.g.,

| Train | Test |
| :--- | :--- |
| denied the allegations | denied the memo |
| denied the reports |  |
| denied the claims |  |
| denied the requests |  |
| P(memo \| denied the $)=0$ |  |
| And we also assign 0 probability to all sentences containing it! |  |
| Solution: Smoothing |  |

